

Plebejus argus (Silver-studded Blue)

Full report outputted on the 01/08/2003 10:43:17

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	94	Occupied 10 km sq.	1999	Full survey	Asher et al. Millennium Atlas, A Fow les pers comm
E	84	Occupied 10 km sq.	1999	Full survey	Asher et al. Millennium Atlas
NI					
S					
W	10	Occupied 10 km sq.	1999	Full survey	Asher et al. Millennium Atlas, A Fow les pers comm

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Declining (slow ing) ▼	Full survey ▼	Asher et al Millennium Atlas, Brereton pers comm ▲ ▼ ◀ ▶
E	Declining (slow ing) ▼	Full survey ▼	Asher et al Millennium Atlas, Brereton pers comm ▲ ▼ ◀ ▶
NI	▼	▼	
S	▼	▼	

W	Stable	Full survey	Asher et al Millennium Atlas, A Fowles pers comm

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge:	Know ledge sufficient to effectively deliver the plan targets.
Notes:	Autecology reasonably well understood. Heathland restoration and management techniques also well studied.

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain populations at all known sites.

Target start date:	1999
Target end date:	Ongoing
Target units:	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	97	96	Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼
E	Some progress (on schedule) ▼	84	83	Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼
NI	▼			▼	▼
S	▼			▼	▼
W	Some progress (on schedule) ▼	10	10	Full survey ▼	Under active development ▼

T2: Restore populations to former sites occupied post-1970 by 2010, using reintroductions if necessary.

Target start date:	1998
Target end date:	2010
Target units:	Sites/ Populations ▼

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	3	0	Informed guess ▼	Under active development ▼
E	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	3	0	Informed guess ▼	Under active development ▼
NI	▼			▼	▼
S	▼			▼	▼
W	Not applicable ▼	0	0	Informed guess ▼	Under active development ▼

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Loss of heathland to development and agriculture

2.2 Fragmentation and isolation of habitat.

2.3 Inappropriate heathland and grassland management .

2.4 Increased quarrying activities, particularly on the Isle of Portland, Dorset.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Undergrazing
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	Habitat loss / degradation - extraction/drainage Aggregate extraction - land

- Habitat loss / degradation - infrastructure development | Housing infrastructure
- Habitat loss / degradation - infrastructure development | Industrial infrastructure
- Habitat loss / degradation - management practice | Inappropriate heathland management
- Habitat loss / degradation - management practice | Lack of disturbance
- Habitat loss / degradation - management practice | Scrub encroachment

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Species and habitat management Habitat quality declining (including due to poor management)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W

Solution:

Further resources into targeted management, constraints to grazing include issues associated with common land, a lack of stock to graze in some areas.

Solution type:

Management

Able to resolve:

Constraint 2:**Constraint keyword:**

Species and habitat management | Habitat fragmentation increasing or too great

Country:

UK
 E
 NI
 S
 W

Solution:

Further habitat restoration

Solution type:

Management

Able to resolve:

Constraint 3:**Constraint keyword:****Country:**

Policy, legislation and designation Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	E	<input type="checkbox"/>	NI	<input type="checkbox"/>	S
		<input type="checkbox"/>	W						
Solution:					Solution type:				
Extant planning permissions for mineral extraction an issue in some heathland areas.					Legislation and policies				
Able to resolve:					<input type="checkbox"/>				

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Butterfly Conservation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology

<input type="checkbox"/>	Countryside Council for Wales
<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rural Development Service
<input type="checkbox"/>	University of Leeds
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wildlife Trust

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 21, 2002

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, [click here](#).

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conwy LBAP
<input type="checkbox"/>	Defence Estates

- Forestry Commission
- National Trust
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- Sandlings Group
- Snowdonia National Park Authority
- Wildlife Trusts

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs.

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Slightly important ▼

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings
- Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, October 31, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Lottery funding for Heathland - Tomorrow s Heahland Heritage

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Funding and incentives | Heritage Lottery Funding secured

Success 2:

Description:

An increase in appropriate Heathland management such as grazing, scrub control and controlled burning in some areas.

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Species and habitat management | Habitat quality improved

Success 3:

Description:

Country:

Heathland restoration particularly in some forestry areas



UK



E



NI



S



W

Keyword:

Species and habitat management | Habitat creation / restoration - achieved

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Thursday, November 07, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point:	<input type="text"/>	email:	<input type="text"/>
Your name:	<input type="text"/>		
Date:	<input type="text"/>		
