

Phocoena phocoena (Harbour Porpoise)

Full report outputted on the 01/08/2003 10:41:09

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	No surveys have been made that can ascribe population estimates to individual countries.
E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Monday, December 09, 2002
Information last changed by Mark Tasker on Thursday, December 19, 2002

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Not know n		No information exists on trends in the status.
E			
NI			
S			
W			


Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

Information last changed by Mark Tasker on Thursday, December 19, 2002

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge:	Know ledge insufficient but research currently underw ay. 
Notes:	<input type="text"/>

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain the current geographical range of the harbour porpoise.

Target start date:	<input type="text" value="1999"/>
Target end date:	<input type="text" value="ongoing"/>
Target units:	<input type="text"/> 

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

T2: Maintain the current abundance of the harbour porpoise.

Target start date:

Target end date:

Target units:

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

T3: In the long-term ensure that no anthropogenic factors inhibit a return to waters that it previously occupied

Target start date:	<input type="text" value="1999"/>
Target end date:	<input type="text" value="ongoing"/>
Target units:	<input type="text"/>

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Wednesday, October 30, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 The current factors affecting this species are not clear but may include:

2.1.1 Incidental capture and drowning in fishing nets.

2.1.2 Environmental contaminants (toxic substances at sea, marine debris, disease, noise disturbance)

2.1.3 Environmental change (effects of fishing and possibly climate change)

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Accidental mortality Fisheries bycatch - netting
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Human disturbance Acoustic disturbance / damage to marine animals
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Pollution - atmospheric Global warming / sea-level rise
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Pollution - freshwater Industrial / commercial

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002
Information last changed by Mark Tasker on Thursday, December 19, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:

Policy, legislation and designation Common Fisheries Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S
	<input type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
Reform of the CFP under way and development of UK Small Cetacean Bycatch Response Strategy	
Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constraint 2:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Funding and incentives EU funding - support required	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S
	<input type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constraint 3:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:

Research, survey and information Monitoring surveys required		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UK	<input type="checkbox"/>	E	<input type="checkbox"/>	NI	<input type="checkbox"/>	S
		<input type="checkbox"/>	W						
Solution:						Solution type:			
<input type="text"/>						<input type="text"/>			
Able to resolve:						<input type="checkbox"/>			

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002
 Information last changed by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Brixham Seawatch
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ceredigion County Council

- Cornish Fish Producer's Association
- Countryside Council for Wales
- Defence Logistics Organisation (CESO(N))
- Defence Logistics Organisation (CESO(N))
- Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
- English Nature
- Environment Agency
- Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust
- Herpetological Conservation Trust
- Insitute of Zoology
- International Fund for Animal Welfare
- Marine Conservation Society
- Natural History Museum

- RSPCA
- Scottish Agricultural College
- Scottish Executive Rural Affairs Department
- Scottish Natural Heritage
- Sea Fish Industry Authority
- Sea Mammal Research Unit
- Seawatch Foundation
- Shetland Fishermen's Association
- The Wildlife Trusts
- Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Defence Estates
<input type="checkbox"/>	Department of Culture, Media and Sport
<input type="checkbox"/>	Department of Trade and Industry
<input type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Heritage Service
<input type="checkbox"/>	Foreign and Commonwealth Office
<input type="checkbox"/>	National Assembly for Wales

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Thursday, December 19, 2002

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs.	
----------------------------------	---

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
<input type="checkbox"/> Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
<input type="checkbox"/> Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
<input type="checkbox"/> Reciprocal attendance at meetings
<input type="checkbox"/> Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Guidelines to minimise the effects of acoustic disturbance from seismic surveys

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Best practice guidance

Success 2:

Description:

Guidelines aimed at minimising disturbance to cetaceans from whale watching operations and minimising disturbance to cetaceans from recreation at sea

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Best practice guidance



Success 3:

Description:

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:



Information originally entered by Mark Tasker on Tuesday, October 29, 2002
Information last changed by Mark Tasker on Monday, December 09, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point: <input type="text"/>	email: <input type="text"/>
Your name: <input type="text"/>	

Date:
