

Hygrocybe calyptriformis (Pink Meadow Cap)

Full report outputted on the 31/07/2003 09:26:25

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	236	Sites/ Populations		Partial or sample survey	See below
E	80	Sites/ Populations	2002	Best guess	BMSFRD summary data
NI	10	Sites/ Populations	2000	Partial or sample survey	McHugh et al. 2000. The Fungi of Irish Grasslands and their value for nature conservation.
S	71	Sites/ Populations	2001	Partial or sample survey	New ton et al. 2001. Survey of 'w axcap' Hygrocybe grasslands and compilation of species dossiers on three grassland fungi. SNH report

W	65	Sites/ Populations	1999	Partial or sample survey	Ray Woods (CCW) pers. comm.
----------	----	--------------------	------	--------------------------	-----------------------------

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Not know n		
E	Not know n		
NI	Not know n		
S	Not know n		
W	Not know n		

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge:	Know ledge sufficient to make some impact, but more research needed.
Notes:	

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain populations of this species at all extant sites.

Target start date:

1999

Target end date:

Ongoing

Target units:

Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Target exceeded (survey success) ▼			Partial or sample survey ▼	Under consideration ▼
E	Some progress (on schedule) ▼			Informed guess ▼	Under consideration ▼
NI	Some progress (on schedule) ▼			Partial or sample survey ▼	Under consideration ▼
S	Target exceeded (survey success) ▼			Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼
W	Target exceeded (survey success) ▼			Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼

T2: Increase the extent of populations at extant sites where appropriate and biologically feasible.

Target start date:

Target end date:

Target units:

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼			Partial or sample survey ▼	Under consideration ▼

E	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼				▼		▼
NI	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼				▼		▼
S	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼				▼		▼
W	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼				▼		▼

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Improvement of its grassland habitat through ploughing or addition of fertilisers.

2.2 Reduction in the levels, or cessation, of grazing or mowing, leading to growth of rank vegetation and woody species.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Ploughing
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Undergrazing
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Pollution - land Agricultural (nutrient enrichment)

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

Research, survey and information | Autecology - poor know ledge

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Solution type:

More research into autecology. Research into autecology of w axcaps in general is currently being carried out by Aberystwyth University, w hich should help in this area.

Research

Able to resolve:

Constraint 2:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

Species and habitat management | Farming practice - causing problems

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Solution type:

This species is vulnerable to grassland 'improvement' through the application of fertilisers and undergrazing. This and other 'w axcap' fungi could be targeted through agri-environment schemes.

Management

Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constraint 3:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Research, survey and information Baseline survey - required	<input type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
<p>Baseline survey needed for England. Data collation exercise is currently underway for known sites and due to be published by EN shortly. 'Pink waxcap survey' run by Plantlife in association with British Mycological Society and other groups is currently underway encouraging members of public to look out for species.</p>	Survey / monitoring
Able to resolve:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, October 29, 2002

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Association of British Fungus Groups
<input type="checkbox"/>	British Mycological Society
<input type="checkbox"/>	Countryside Council for Wales
<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Environment and Heritage Service
<input type="checkbox"/>	National Trust for Scotland
<input type="checkbox"/>	Plantlife

- Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
- Scottish Natural Heritage
- University College Aberystwyth

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, October 29, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Good contact with LBAPs, information exchanged.

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

By Both

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Important

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings
- Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Country:

CCW have produced colourful bilingual leaflet on the management of grasslands for fungi

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Leaflet published

Success 2:

Description:

Scottish w axcap grassland survey completed - number of sites for this species almost doubled in a single field season (2000)

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Baseline survey - achieved or started

Success 3:

Description:

Country:

Pink Waxcap Survey aimed to encourage both mycologists and members of the general public to look for this species launched Autumn 2002.

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Raised aw areness / profile among general public

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Monday, October 14, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point: Countryside **email:** ray.woods@c

Your name: Jenny

Date: 23.12.02