

Gryllotalpa gryllotalpa (Mole Cricket)

Full report outputted on the 31/07/2003 09:06:03

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	0	Sites/ Populations	2002	Partial or sample survey	SRP Report 2002
E	0	Sites/ Populations	2002	Full survey	SRP Report 2002
NI					
S	0	Sites/ Populations	2002	Best guess	
W	0	Sites/ Populations	2002	Best guess	

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Not know n	Full survey	SRP Report 2002
E	Not know n	Full survey	SRP Report 2002
NI	Not applicable (does not occur in this country)		
S	Not know n	Best guess	SRP Report 2002
W	Not know n	Best guess	SRP Report 2002

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002
 Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge: Know ledge insufficient but research currently under way.

Notes:

A number of casual, but unsubstantiated records each year. No confirmation from follow-up visits. Habitat suitable in a number of cases.

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain any surviving colonies by the year 2000

Target start date:

Target end date:

Target units:

Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
----------	--------	---------	----------	------------

UK	No progress		0	Partial or sample survey	Yes
E	No progress		0	Full survey	Yes
NI	Not applicable			Unknown	No
S	No progress		0	Best guess	Yes
W	No progress		0	Best guess	Yes

T2: Establish breeding colonies of the cricket in captivity by the year 2000.

Target start date: 1994

Target end date: 2000

Target units: Breeding pairs/units

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule)		0	Full survey	Yes
E	Some progress (behind schedule)		0	Full survey	Yes

NI	Not applicable				
S	No progress				
W	No progress				

T3: Identify or establish 20 self-sustaining colonies throughout the cricket's former range by the year 2005.

Target start date:	1995
Target end date:	2005
Target units:	Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule)	20	0	Full survey	Yes
E	Some progress (behind schedule)		0	Full survey	Yes
NI	Not applicable				
S	No progress				

W	No progress				
----------	-------------	--	--	--	--

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Intensive mechanical cultivation or drainage of soils in arable and horticultural systems.

2.2 Lack of suitable grazing or cutting management in damp meadows, allowing the development of tall vegetation which makes the underlying soil too cold for breeding.

2.3 Heavy insecticide use.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Inappropriate grazing (due to timing or livestock type)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Habitat loss / degradation - extraction/drainage Drainage (for agriculture)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Pollution - land Agricultural (other agro-chemicals)

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Research, survey and information Species is difficult to find or survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:

Despite considerable effort in survey over nine years, only three confirmed, isolated sightings. No result from follow-up visits.

Survey / monitoring

Able to resolve:

Constraint 2:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

Funding and incentives | Agency grant - support required

- UK E NI S
- W

Solution:

Solution type:

End of EN funding this year- is there any other funding body? How long should searches continue- this has been nine years?

Other

Able to resolve:

Constraint 3:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> UK	<input type="checkbox"/> E	<input type="checkbox"/> NI	<input type="checkbox"/> S
<input type="checkbox"/>		W			
Solution:			Solution type:		
<input type="text"/>			<input type="text"/>		
Able to resolve:					<input type="checkbox"/>

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002
 Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Artis Zoo, Amsterdam
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bristol Zoo

<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural History Museum
<input type="checkbox"/>	Zoological Society

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002
Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs.

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Unimportant

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)

Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)

Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)

Reciprocal attendance at meetings

Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002
Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Advance know ledge of captive rearing techniques

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Autecology - improved know ledge

Success 2:

Description:

Increasing aw areness of Mole Crickets

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Raised aw areness / profile among general public

Success 3:

Description:

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Information originally entered by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002
Information last changed by Mrs Judith Marshall on Monday, November 18, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point:	<input type="text"/>	email:	<input type="text"/>
Your name:	<input type="text"/>		
Date:	<input type="text"/>		
