

Galeopsis angustifolia (Red Hemp-nettle)

Full report outputted on the 31/07/2003 08:56:44

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	52	Sites/ Populations	2001	Partial or sample survey	TPDB
E	49	Sites/ Populations	2001	Partial or sample survey	TPDB
NI					
S					
W	3	Sites/ Populations	1998	Partial or sample survey	TPDB

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Informed guess ▼	Phil Wilson (pers. comm.)
E	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Informed guess ▼	Phil Wilson (pers. comm.)
NI	▼	▼	
S	▼	▼	
W	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Informed guess ▼	Phil Wilson (pers. comm.)

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge:	Know ledge sufficient to make some impact, but more research needed. ▼
-----------------------------	--

Notes:

Generic guidelines for the management of arable fields and field margins should help achieve some progress.

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain the geographical range of this species in the UK.

Target start date:	<input type="text" value="1998"/>
Target end date:	<input type="text" value="ongoing"/>
Target units:	<input type="text" value="Occupied 10 km sq."/> ▼

Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
----------	--------	---------	----------	------------

UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼			Best guess ▼	
E	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼			Best guess ▼	
NI					
S					
W	Unknown ▼			Best guess ▼	

T2: Increase the total population size of this species in the UK.

Target start date:	1998
Target end date:	ongoing
Target units:	Sites/ Populations ▼

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Unknown ▼	5		Best guess ▼	
E	Unknown ▼	4		Best guess ▼	

NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
W	Unknown	1		Best guess	<input type="text"/>

T3: Achieve the natural colonisation of new sites.

Target start date:	1998
Target end date:	2015
Target units:	Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Unknown	5		Best guess	<input type="text"/>
E	Unknown	5		Best guess	<input type="text"/>
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

W

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

T4: Establish populations at eight sites within the historic range by 2003

Target start date: 1998

Target end date: 2003

Target units: Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	No progress	8		Best guess	
E	No progress	7		Best guess	
NI					
S					
W	No progress	1		Best guess	

T5: Est
abl
ish
an
ex-
sit
u

pro
gra
m
me
to
pro
tec
t
ge
net
ic
div
ers
ity,
cre
ate
a
res
erv
e
po
pul
ati
on
an
d
pro
vid

**e
ex
per
im
ent
al
ma
teri
al.**

l
a
r
c
e
t

s
t

19

a
r
t

c
a
t
e
:

l
a
r

get end date:

Target units:

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	<input type="text" value="No progress"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Informed guess"/>	<input type="text"/>
E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
NI	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
S	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 18, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add

to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Wid
espr
ead
use
of
herb
icid
es
and
fertil
iser
s.

2.2 The
dev
elop
men
t of
high
ly
prod
ucti
ve
crop
vari
eties.
s.

2.3 Destruction of field-edge refuges.

2.4 Autumn cultivation which destroys plants before they have set seed.

2.5 The demise of traditional crop rotations and cultivation on marginal arable land.

2.6 Recreation and tourism on coastal sites may be important factors, but further research is needed to clarify their significance.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Del ete:	Ran k:	Key wor d:
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Conversion to pasture

2

Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture | Intensive crop regimes



3

Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture | Loss of field margins



7

Habitat loss



/ degradation - extraction/drainage | Aggregate extraction - land

- Habitat loss / degradation - infrastructure development | Coastal defence works
- Habitat loss / degradation - management practice | Demise of traditional practices
- Habitat loss / degradation - management practice | Lack of disturbance
- Human disturbance | Military use / disturbance
- Human disturbance | Other recreation / tourism
- Pollution - land | Agricultural (nutrient enrichment)
- Pollution - land | Agricultural (other agro-chemicals)

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Friday, December 13, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

C
o

Constraint 1:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

- UK E NI S
- W

Funding and incentives | Agricultural schemes - not delivering wildlife gains

Solution:

More sites should be included within CS and ESA schemes - better targeted to areas of known interest, also introduce a range of new arable options and monitor impact on rare arable plants

Solution type:

Funding and incentive schemes

Able to resolve:

C

Constraint 2:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

Policy, legislation and designation | Insufficient protection through UK designated sites (SSSI, NNR, MNR)

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Solution type:

EN/CCW/SNH to change policy on scheduling of arable SSSIs, as too few sites are currently under agri-environment scheme agreements

Site protection / designation

Able to resolve:

Constraint 3:

Constraint keyword:

Funding and incentives | Species recovery programme - not delivering wildlife gains

Country:

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Establish a Species Recovery project.

Solution type:

Research

Able to resolve:

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on 02 January 2003

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Del ete:	Org ani sati on:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bot anic al Soci ety of the Briti sh Isle s
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cou ntry side Cou ncil for Wal es
<input type="checkbox"/>	Dep art

ment for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs



English Nature



Northmoor Trust



Plantlife



RDS, DEFRA



Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew



Royal Society for the Protection of Birds



Scottish Natural Heritage

<input type="checkbox"/> University of Reading
--

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Generic advice to LBAPs on species/habitat needs or appropriate local actions produced/distributed.	▼
---	---

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

By LBAP	▼
---------	---

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Important	▼
-----------	---

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity Ne

ws, newsletter)

- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings
- Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Thursday, December 12, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

**Succ
ess
1:**

Description:

Anecdotal information pointing to increase in populations suggests recent changes to farming practices have been beneficial to this species, but long-term performance of such schemes needs to be monitored.

Country:

- UK
- E
- NI
- S
- W

Keyword:

Funding and incentives | Agricultural schemes - beneficial changes to structure / payments

Success 2:

Description:

Country:

Arable leaflet and displays created for Wales and a website produced for England

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Communication | Raised awareness / profile among general public

Success 3:

Description:

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Information originally entered by Amanda Miller on 02 January 2003
Information last changed by Amanda Miller on Tuesday, January 07, 2003

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point:	John Osmond	email:	john.osmond@
Your name:	Amanda Miller		
Date:	2/1/03		
