

# *Carterocephalus palaemon* (Chequered Skipper)

Full report outputted on the 31/07/2003 07:49:22

## 1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	27	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al (2001)
E		▼		▼	
NI		▼		▼	
S	27	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al (2001)
W		▼		▼	

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002  
Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 09, 2002

---

## 2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.

	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
<b>UK</b>	Declining (slow ing) ▼	Informed guess ▼	Asher et al (2001)
<b>E</b>	▼	▼	
<b>NI</b>	▼	▼	
<b>S</b>	Declining (slow ing) ▼	Informed guess ▼	Asher et al (2001)
<b>W</b>	▼	▼	

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002

Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 09, 2002

### 3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

<b>Status of knowledge:</b>	Know ledge sufficient to make some impact, but more research needed. ▼
-----------------------------	--

**Notes:**

More research is needed so we can fully understand why the English re-introduction attempt failed. We also need to know more about the management of habitat under wayleaves in Scotland, and how best to re-structure conifer plantations.

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002  
Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

---

## 4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

### T1: Maintain populations at all known sites.

Target start date:

1999

Target end date:

ongoing

Target units:

Occupied 10 km sq.

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	27	27	Partial or sample survey ▼	Yes ▼
E	▼			▼	▼
NI	▼			▼	▼
S	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	27	27	Partial or sample survey ▼	Yes ▼
W	▼			▼	▼

**T2: Restore a viable population in England by 2005.**

Target start date:

Target end date:

Target units:

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼			▼	▼

<b>E</b>	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	1	0	Full survey ▼	Yes ▼
<b>NI</b>	▼			▼	▼
<b>S</b>	▼			▼	▼
<b>W</b>	▼			▼	▼

**T3: If suitable habitat can be restored, re-establish a further five populations in England by 2010.**

<b>Target start date:</b>	1999
<b>Target end date:</b>	2010
<b>Target units:</b>	Sites/ Populations ▼

	<b>Progress</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Current</b>	<b>Accuracy</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>
<b>UK</b>	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	5	0	Full survey ▼	Yes ▼
<b>E</b>	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	5	0	Full survey ▼	Yes ▼
<b>NI</b>	▼			▼	▼

<b>S</b>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<b>W</b>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002  
 Information last changed by JNCC on 03 April 2003

---

## 5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

### Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Inappropriate grazing management of wood pasture.

2.2 Loss of open areas within woodland.

### Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture   Inappropriate grazing (due to timing or livestock type)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Habitat loss / degradation - management practice   Loss of open areas
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Habitat loss / degradation - woodlands/forestry   Tree / wood planting

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002

## 6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:	
<b>Constraint keyword:</b>	<b>Country:</b>
Species and habitat management   Species introductions unsuccessful	<input type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
<b>Solution:</b>	<b>Solution type:</b>
Locate better site and/or increase habitat available at trial site	Management

Able to resolve:

## Constraint 2:

Constraint keyword:

Funding and incentives | Staff resources - insufficient

Country:

UK  E  NI  S  
 W

Solution:

Situation in Scotland set to improve. Formation of UK Steering Group may assist.

Solution type:

Funding and incentive schemes

Able to resolve:

## Constraint 3:

Constraint keyword:

Funding and incentives | Woodland Schemes - changes needed to structure / payments

Country:

UK  E  NI  S  
 W

Solution:

Solution type:

New s SFS needs to be more sensitively applied, especially over large areas.

Funding and incentive schemes

**Able to resolve:**

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002  
Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

---

## 7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Butterfly Conservation
<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forestry Commission
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forestry Enterprise
<input type="checkbox"/>	University of Birmingham

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

---

## 8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, [click here](#).

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forestry Commission Research Agency
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish and Southern Energy
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Native Woods
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Natural Heritage
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Office Agriculture, Environment and Fisheries Department
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Wildlife Trust

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 09, 2002

Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

---

## 9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs.

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

By Lead Partner

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Slightly important

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings
- Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 02, 2002  
Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 09, 2002

>

## 10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

### Success 1:

Description:

experimental re-introduction has revealed more of its autecology and need for landscape-scale approach

Country:

UK  E  NI  S  W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Autecology - improved know ledge

### Success 2:

<b>Description:</b>	<b>Country:</b>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; min-height: 100px;">The commencement of a collaborative project between Butterfly Conservation, Forest Enterprise and Forest Research at Loch Arkaig, Fort William, to investigate the effects of conifer plantation re-structuring and native woodland restoration on chequered skipper populations</div>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
<b>Keyword:</b>	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Species and habitat management   Woodland / forestry management improvement</div>	

### Success 3:

<b>Description:</b>	<b>Country:</b>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>	<input type="checkbox"/> UK <input type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
<b>Keyword:</b>	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>	

Information originally entered by Paul Kirkland on Monday, December 09, 2002  
Information last changed by Paul Kirkland on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

---

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

**Sign-off:**

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

<b>Contact point:</b>	<input type="text"/>	<b>email:</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Your name:</b>	<input type="text"/>		
<b>Date:</b>	<input type="text"/>		

---