

Calicium corynellum (a Lichen)

Full report outputted on the 31/07/2003 07:37:48

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	4	Sites/ Populations	2002	Partial or sample survey	See below
E	3	Sites/ Populations	2002	Partial or sample survey	J Simkin pers. comm. (Report currently being prepared)
NI					
S	1	Sites/ Populations	2002	Partial or sample survey	J Simkin pers. comm. (Report currently being prepared)
W					

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.


	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Not know n		
E	Fluctuating / No clear trend	Partial or sample survey	J Simkin pers. comm. (Report currently being prepared)
NI			
S	Not know n	Partial or sample survey	J Simkin pers. comm. (Report currently being prepared)
W			

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by JNCC on 03 April 2003

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.

Status of knowledge:	Know ledge sufficient to make some impact, but more research needed. 
Notes:	<div data-bbox="465 735 1144 951"><p>The species has virtually been lost from its original site, w ith only a minute relict population left, but has fortunately been found in greater quantities at 3 new sites, 2 in England and 1 in Scotland.</p></div>

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Maintain all known populations

Target start date: 1998

Target end date: Ongoing

Target units: Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Target exceeded (survey success)				
E	Target exceeded (survey success)			Partial or sample survey	Yes
NI					
S	Target exceeded (survey success)			Partial or sample survey	Under active development
W					

T2: If biologically feasible, restore Bywell population to former extent

Target start date: 1998

Target end date: Ongoing

Target units: Sites/ Populations

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule)			Partial or sample survey	Under active development
E					
NI					
S					
W					

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by JNCC on 03 April 2003

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

- 2.1 The dwindling size of the only known population of this species is thought to be due to the replacement of a stone slab, at the base of the tower on which it grows, with gravel. This has meant that rain water cascading down from a pipe above no longer splashes high up the wall, and consequently a drier microclimate has developed, which appears to be unsuitable for this species.
- 2.2 Building work, such as repointing and restoration of the church walls is threat to this species.
- 2.3 Botanical collection is a possible threat because of the small size of the only known population.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - infrastructure development Restoration works on old buildings / walls
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Human disturbance Interference / displacement

<input type="checkbox"/>	3 Intrinsic Factors Low population density / size
--------------------------	--

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Action plan process Unrealistic timescale for targets	<input type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
Any restoration of the Byw ell population to its former extent, if feasible at all, is likely to take many years (ie beyond 2005) since this species is very slow grow ing	Other
Able to resolve:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Constraint 2:

Constraint keyword:

Species and habitat management | Conflict w ith other uses

Country:

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Changes in churchyard management practices due to concerns over safety over leaning gravestones (w hich support the species on their undersides)pose a current constraint on action plan implementation

Solution type:

Able to resolve:

Constraint 3:

Constraint keyword:

Country:

UK E NI S
 W

Solution:

Solution type:

Able to resolve:

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	British Lichen Society
<input type="checkbox"/>	Diocese of Newcastle

<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Plantlife
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Natural Heritage

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs. 

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?



c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Slightly important 

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings
- Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Country:

Two new sites found in England and one in Scotland, bringing the total of known sites from 1 to 4

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Other

Success 2:

Description:

Improved understanding of species ecology and conservation needs should help support BAP implementation

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Autecology - improved knowledge

Success 3:

Description:

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Information originally entered by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Thursday, October 17, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Jenny Duckworth on Tuesday, December 10, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point:	English Nature	email:	peter.lambley@
Your name:	Jenny		
Date:	20.12.02		
