

Boloria euphrosyne (Pearl-bordered Fritillary)

Full report outputted on the 30/07/2003 17:19:48

1. Status of the habitat / species

Please give your most accurate assessment of the status of your species or habitat for the UK and for each country. Leave the row blank where the species or habitat does not occur in that country.

	Amount:	Units:	Year:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	262	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999.
E	139	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Full survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999
NI		▼		▼	
S	103	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999
W	20	Occupied 10 km sq. ▼	1999	Full survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002

2. Trend in Biological Status

Please give your best estimate of the current trend for your species or habitat for the UK, and each appropriate country, using the following categories. Please give an estimate unless there is absolutely no information on which to assess status.



	Trend:	Accuracy:	Reference for data:
UK	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999
E	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Full survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999
NI	▼	▼	
S	Not know n ▼	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999
W	Declining (continuing/accelerating) ▼	Partial or sample survey ▼	Asher et al 2001, Brereton et al 1999, R Hobson pers. com.

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002
 Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

3. Status of knowledge

To what extent is our scientific knowledge of the habitat / species (e.g research information, autecological knowledge, knowledge for effective re-introduction or habitat restoration/re-creation) sufficient to deliver the plan targets?

Please give an assessment for the UK overall but if there is significant difference in knowledge between different countries this should be noted.


Status of knowledge:	Know ledge sufficient to make some impact, but more research needed. 
Notes:	Management and threats to extensive areas of open woodland/bracken habitats in Scotland not well understood 

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002

4. Progress on targets

Each of the revised targets from the 2001 Targets Review is listed below.

For each one please give a qualitative assessment of progress for the UK and each country. You can also enter quantitative information on progress by entering data in each of the target boxes and entering the current amount in the amount box. For more information see Help.

T1: Halt the current decline by the year 2005, through maintaining viable networks of populations in core areas of distribution.	
Target start date:	<input type="text" value="1995"/>
Target end date:	<input type="text" value="2005"/>
Target units:	<input type="text" value="Sites/ Populations"/> 

	Progress	Target	Current	Accuracy	Monitoring
UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	31		Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼
E	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	15	15	Full survey ▼	Under active development ▼
NI	▼			▼	▼
S	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	13	13	Partial or sample survey ▼	Under active development ▼
W	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	3	3	Full survey ▼	Under active development ▼

T2: In the long-term, re-introduce the species to at least 3 sites per previously occupied county, through habitat restoration throughout the butterfly's former range.

Target start date:

1995

Target end date:

ongoing

Target units:

Sites/ Populations ▼

Progress

Target

Current

Accuracy

Monitoring

UK	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	18	0	Informed guess ▼	Under consideration ▼
E	Some progress (behind schedule) ▼	9	0	Informed guess ▼	Under consideration ▼
NI	▼			▼	▼
S	No progress ▼	3	0	Informed guess ▼	Under consideration ▼
W	No progress ▼	6	0	Informed guess ▼	Under consideration ▼

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

5. Current factors affecting the habitat

When your plan was published the factors (threats) that were thought to be causing loss or decline were listed (in section 2). Re-assessing the current situation may help prioritise actions for your species or habitat. In addition, this enables identification of the main threats to biodiversity across all plans. It is useful to assess whether the importance of different factors is changing and whether there are new issues emerging.

The threat section from the original plan is duplicated below, and a first attempt at categorising the threats has been made. Please confirm that you agree with the categorisation of the original threats identified in the plan (by deleting any wrongly categorised threats and adding the correct category). Please also add to the list any significant threats that have emerged recently, being mindful of environmental issues that have increased in profile or been recognised since plan publication (e.g. climate change). Once you are happy that the list contains all the threats identified in the published plan together with any new ones, please rank them in order of severity (1= highest threat). If the situation has changed and one of the published threats is no longer significant, please leave this in the list but give this rank = 0.

Current factors affecting the habitat from the original publication:

2.1 Loss of open clearings and canopy gaps within modern high forest systems.

2.2 Cessation of grazing on unimproved grassland and abandonment of traditional bracken and gorse management.

Keyworded factors:

To add factors click the add button, to delete factors check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Rank:	Keyword:
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Habitat loss / degradation - agriculture Inappropriate grazing (due to timing or livestock type)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Habitat loss / degradation - woodlands/forestry Decline of woodland coppicing / pollarding
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	Habitat loss / degradation - woodlands/forestry Inappropriate timing of forestry rotation

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

6. Constraints (optional)

Select the three most significant constraints to achieving the targets of the plan, and indicate the order of priority (1-3 with 1 being the most significant constraint). Only include constraints that are acting as a real blockage to delivering the plan targets or leading to a substantial delay in their delivery. For each constraint, please indicate whether you feel that it is within the ability of the lead partner or steering group to resolve the constraint.

Constraint 1:

Constraint keyword:	Country:
Species and habitat management Woodland / forestry management - causing problems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
Further incentives for coppice restoration	Funding and incentive schemes
Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constraint 2:	
Constraint keyword:	Country:
Research, survey and information Habitat creation/restoration techniques poorly understood	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S <input type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
Further long term research into managemetrn of open w oodland in Scotland required	Research
Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Constraint 3:	

Constraint keyword:	Country:
Funding and incentives Staff resources - insufficient	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E <input type="checkbox"/> NI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W
Solution:	Solution type:
further funding	Funding and incentive schemes
Able to resolve:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

7. Steering Group

Please list all organisations that are represented on the steering group for your species/habitat (include all organisations that have contributed either directly or by correspondence within the last 3 years). Where a steering group does not exist please leave this form blank.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Butterfly Conservation
<input type="checkbox"/>	Countryside Council for Wales

<input type="checkbox"/>	English Nature
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forest Enterprise
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forestry Authority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scottish Natural Heritage

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

8. Other Groups/Organisations

Please list any additional groups/organisations that are actively involved in implementing your action plan. (This is to try to assess which groups are involved where there is no steering group and any additional contributors). If you do not have any other organisations involved, click here.

To add organisations click the add button, to delete organisations check the delete box and then click the delete button.

Delete:	Organisation:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
<input type="checkbox"/>	Wildlife Trusts

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Wednesday, December 11, 2002
Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Wednesday, December 11, 2002

9. Linkages to LBAPs

a) Which of the following most accurately describes your interaction with LBAPs, up to now?

Little or no contact with LBAPs.

b) If you have been in contact with LBAPs how was it initiated?

c) Irrespective of current contact, how important do you consider LBAP co-ordinated action will be in achieving the targets of the plan? Select from category:

Slightly important

d) If you consider LBAP action to be anything other than unimportant, which of the following forms of engagement do you think would be appropriate? (Note, you may tick more than one category.)

- Indirect contact (e.g. posting information on UKBAP website, sharing work programmes, meeting schedules, articles in Biodiversity News, newsletter)
- Provision of generic information on habitat and/or species (e.g. advice and guidance on habitat/species ecology and management)
- Direct provision of advice (e.g. proactive approach to LBAP, response to consultations from LBAPs, advice on LBAP target setting)
- Reciprocal attendance at meetings

Development of collaborative projects

Other (please specify):

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002

>

10. Successes (optional)

Have there been key successes in the implementation of your plan that should be drawn to the attention of government, the wider BAP partnership, or the public? Please give a brief description (i.e. 2-3 sentences) of up to three successes and allocate a topic area to each of them:

Success 1:

Description:

Major survey funded by first Corporate Species Champion - IC highlighted importance of open woodland in Scotland for this species

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Research, survey and information | Baseline survey - achieved or started

Success 2:

Description:

Forest Enterprise management at a range of 'Priority' woodlands highlighted in their butterfly action plan

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Species and habitat management | Woodland / forestry management improvement

Success 3:

Description:

New reserve for species in Wales

Country:

UK E NI S W

Keyword:

Species and habitat management | Other

Information originally entered by Dr Nigel Bourn on Friday, November 08, 2002

Information last changed by Dr Nigel Bourn on Monday, December 09, 2002

Thankyou for answering the questions. You have not yet completed all of the questions. You can go back and answer the additional ones or edit the ones you have already answered whenever you want.

Sign-off:

When you have completed all of the questions that you are able to, you must sign off your reporting.

I agree that the steering group (if present) have agreed the information in this report and that the following contact point has also signed it off:

Contact point:	<input type="text"/>	email:	<input type="text"/>
Your name:	<input type="text"/>		
Date:	<input type="text"/>		
